

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,997	09/17/2003	Soon Jo Lee	9988.058.00-US	1982
30827	7590 10/28/2005		EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\underline{e}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/663,997	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen Gravini	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repoly within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHE, cause the application to become ABAI	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8-3-	<u>05</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Priority documents.	its have been received. Its have been received in Apporting documents have been re	plication No				
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eceived				
300 the attached detailed Office action to a list	tor the octanica copies flot re	,00170d.				
<b>M</b>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] Intention Co.	mman/ (PTO 412)				
2) Notice of References Clied (PTO-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		/Mail Date				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
· aper no(s)/mail Date	<b>-</b> •					

Art Unit: 3749

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Robineau (US 6,195,910) in view of Gerhardt (US 2,966,051). Robineau is considered to disclose the claimed invention comprising:

- a base 24 forming a floor of a body;
- a front cabinet **18** forming a front portion of the body:
- a side cabinet 26 forming a side portion of the body;
- a back cover 20 forming a back portion of the body;
- a control panel **28** having a hook (please see column 10 lines 12-15 for the express teaching of the panel having a hook);

a top cover 22 forming a top of the body, and wherein the control panel is provided on a rear portion of the top cover, the top cover comprising a groove provided at rear portion of the top cover wherein the hook is disposed in a surface of the control panel opposite the top cover such that the hook inserts into the groove (please see column 10 lines 12-15 again wherein the disclosed loop is considered to inherently anticipate the claimed groove because both a loop and a groove are used to dispose a hook in a control panel surface opposite a top cover); and

a fire wall **34** made of metal, and provided at a lower portion of the top cover (wherein the firewall definition is reasonably construed under the broadest meaning consistent with the specification since the non-patent literature cited in

Art Unit: 3749

this action shows that is well known the metal back of dryers act as firewalls, thereby inherently anticipating the claimed invention). Robineau is also considered to disclose the firewall having the same predetermined curvature because the figures show that the firewall and groove have a predetermined curvature which is the same. Robineau is considered to disclose the claimed invention, except for the claimed top cover having a predetermined curvature. Gerhardt, another clothes device, is considered to disclose a top cover having a predetermined curvature in figure 4 and columns 2-3. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Robineau with the top cover having a predetermined curvature, considered disclosed in Gerhardt, for the purpose of presenting a relatively smooth surface to define a throat through which clothes may be introduced into a water extraction basket without the danger of fingers being injured or caught between adiacent operating parts.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gerhardt (US 2,966,051). Gerhardt is considered to disclose the claimed invention comprising:

a body A;

a top cover 11 covering the body, the top cover including:

a groove **30** which runs along a width of the top cover wherein the groove directs fluid on the top cover toward an exterior of the laundry dryer (please see columns 2-5 wherein the disclosed water passage to the exterior is considered to anticipate the claimed groove fluid direction because both remove water toward an exterior of the drying apparatus);

Art Unit: 3749

at least one hole 35 disposed in the groove;

a control panel 15 including a hook disposed at a surface of the control panel opposite the top cover wherein the hook is configured for insertion into the groove (please see figure 4 and columns 2-5, especially column 3 wherein the disclosed peripheral flange 30 serves as the claimed hook because of its hooked shape and illustrated at a lower level of the control panel under the top cover and adjacent the disclosed opening 17 and furthermore the illustrated and disclosed shape meets the claimed limitation of being configured for insertion into the groove because the shape and function show that it may be configured for that purpose). Gerhardt is also considered to disclose the claimed top cover curvature in figure 4 and firewall curvature directing fluid leakage to a lower body portion wherein the firewall is given its reasonable and broadest meaning in light of the specification as merely a lower part of the top cover as shown in figure 4 and discussed in columns 2-5.

## Double Patenting

Claims 1-6 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 15-25 of copending Application No. 10/629,775. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the presently claimed firewall is considered to be an obvious variation to the copending claimed fire plate.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 3749

## Conclusion

Based on the pre-appeal conference, it was suggested to more clearly discuss the prior art in light of the claimed invention. Applicants are invited to thoroughly read the prior art to gain an understanding so the claimed invention may be broadly construed under current Office practice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gravini whose telephone number is 571 272 4875. The examiner can normally be reached on normal weekday business hours (east coast time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571 272 4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SMG October 25, 2005 Topla Grami